THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE L.NARASIMHA REDDY

–

DATED: 28-11-2005

–

WRIT PETITION No.23718 of 2005

–

Between:

P.Murali Krishna . . .Petitioner

And

The District Educational Officer, Vizianagaram,

and another . . .Respondents

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE L.NARASIMHA REDDY

–

–

WRIT PETITION No.23718 of 2005

O R D E R:

The petitioner claims to have been appointed as teacher in the year 1988 in a School for Deaf and Blind Children established by the second respondent at Vizianagaram. Subsequently, he was promoted as Head Master in the year 1992. On 09-09-2005, he was placed under suspension by the second respondent on certain allegations. An order was passed on 29-09-2005

imposing the punishment of reversion upon the petitioner. However, soon thereafter, the services of the petitioner were terminated on the ground that he did not report to duty, as indicated in the order, dated
29-09-2005. He challenges the same.

Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and learned Government Pleader for School Education.

Though the learned counsel for the petitioner had made submissions touching on the several aspects, this Court is not inclined to deal with the same, because of the reason that an effective alternative remedy by way of appeal is provided for under Section 80 of the Andhra Pradesh Education Act. The appellate authority would be in a better position to appreciate the matter by duly examining the record.

Hence, the writ petition is disposed of leaving it open to the petitioner to avail the remedy of appeal under Section 80 of the Andhra Pradesh Education Act before the competent authority. In case the appeal is filed within a period of four weeks from today, it shall be entertained by the appellate authority as having been presented within the period of limitation. There shall be no order as to costs.

_____

(L.NARASIMHA REDDY, J)

28th NOVEMBER, 2005.

kvni